GASCO Review Brainstorming Session Portland Harbor Community Advisory Group Meeting December 14, 2005

What we would like to see changed/improved/done differently in future early actions:

- Want the process to have more transparency
- More time for technical review
- Technical review integrated into the cleanup programs more completely
- Evaluate benefits of doing the work now versus using a later fish window to have more certainty about the technologies.
- Be open to seek new, creative ideas for design and technology and problem resolution
- CAG wants to come back to GASCO and hear more about lessons learned and future plans.
- Define goals more clearly.
- At low cost
- Use a lab that can turn results around quickly
- More details about quantities and costs
- Site visit to see work in progress
- At the end we can say the site is better than before and there are no short term impacts
- Public representatives have equal weight with LWG and other PRP's
- Hydraulic dredging
- Listen to public comment
- Precautionary principle
- More time to evaluate before taking action
- Don't be too schedule driven. Make sure there is adequate time for planning, review and evaluation.
- Make sure tribal consultants have equal access to information

USEPA SF